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ABSTRACT
Standard office building control systems operate the heating, ventilating, and air conditioning on a fixed schedule, based upon anticipated occupancy and use of the building. This study introduces and illustrates a method for integrated building heating, cooling and ventilation control to reduce energy consumption and maintain indoor temperature set points, based on the prediction of occupant behaviour patterns and local weather conditions. The experiment test-bed is setup in the Solar Decathlon House (2005), with over 100 sensor points. The results show that there is a 17.8\% measured energy reduction in the cooling season test case.

INTRODUCTION
The World Business Council for Sustainable Development recently published their first report on energy efficiency in buildings stating that buildings are responsible for at least 40\% of energy use in many countries (Lafore and UTC, 2008). A fundamental goal of an integrated high performance building is to provide comfortable environment for occupants while minimizing energy usage. There have been many previous research efforts on using model predictive controls (MPC) in building to reduce total building energy consumption. However, the outdoor conditions used in most MPC designs for buildings are based on historic information (Cho, et al. 2003) or available data from NOAA website (Ma, et al. 2010).

Only recently, Morari and Tödtli (2008) begin to combine numerical local weather forecasting and MPC to enhance building energy usage and indoor thermal comfort. Zavala, et al., (2009) studied the economic impacts on building energy consumptions based on local temperature forecasting. Through a proof-of-concept simulation study and the use of a supervisory dynamic optimization strategy, the proposed framework can lead to significant savings (more than 18 \% reduction) in operating costs. However, their research still focuses on local temperature (Zavala, et al. 2009) or temperature and solar radiation predictions (Morari and Tödtli, 2008). The prediction of wind speed is not mentioned, which is a dominate factor for infiltration calculations for residential buildings such as the Solar House. This is one of the issues that will be addressed in this paper.

Another important input parameter for MPC design, the number of occupants inside a space, is still an assumption such as a fixed schedule for most of the previous studies. Detection occupancy behaviour based on a single sensor or sensor network becomes an interesting topic for building scientists. Wang et al. (2005) applied Poisson process to generate daily occupancy profile in a single-occupied office based on PIR sensor data. Duong, et al. (2006) used hidden Semi Markov models for modelling and detecting activities of daily living such as cooking, eating, etc. in a home environment with a single occupant. Youngblood, et al. (2007) introduced a new method of automatically constructing hierarchical Hidden Markov Models (HMM) using the output of a sequential data-mining algorithm to estimate occupants’ moving patterns and thus control an office environment. Page, et al. (2007) targeted individual occupancy behaviour by developing a generalized stochastic model for the simulation of occupant presence in single occupied offices with derived probability distributions based on Markov chains. Most of the above studies focus on individual behaviour in a single occupied space. Dong, et al. (2010) applied HMM to estimate occupants’ behavior in four bays of a large scale open office based on a complex sensor network involving five different types of sensors. A later study by Dong and Lam (2011) combining Gaussian Process and HMM shows better results and an energy saving of 18.5\% is achieved if applied into building energy management based on the EnergyPlus simulation results.

This paper introduces an innovative building control approach, which integrates local weather forecasting (temperature, solar radiation and wind speed) with occupant behaviour detection (number of occupants and occupancy duration) into MPC design. The developed MPC is then implemented and tested in the Solar Decathlon House test-bed with over 100 sensor points measuring indoor environmental parameters such as temperature, relative humidity, CO\textsubscript{2}, lighting, motion and acoustics, and power consumption for electrical plugs, HVAC and lighting systems.
METHODOLOGY

As shown in Figure 1, starting from the sensor network inside the house, the raw sensor data are inputs to the occupant pattern prediction model. The weather forecasting model simultaneously predicts outdoor temperature, solar radiation and wind speed (Jiang and Dong, 2010) for the next time horizon. The resultant weather and occupancy information are then inputs to the virtual building model in MATLAB/Simulink. The optimal control results from the virtual model are then implemented through LabVIEW on local HVAC actuators for pumps, water heater and fans. Hence, the methodology includes the following modules: sensor network, building and system model, local weather forecasting, parameter estimation, occupancy detection, building model, optimal control design and experiment set-up.

Sensor network
The house is equipped with a complex sensor network to measure and retrieves as much operational information as possible. Sample sensors are shown in Figure 2. There are three independent sensor networks.

- LabVIEW based data acquisition system (DAQ), which measures the indoor temperature at different heights, RH and both indoor and outdoor CO₂ levels with a sampling of one minute. All sensors are connected with DAQ and signals are transferred and stored through LabVIEW.
- A wireless environmental sensor network, measures temperature, RH, lighting, acoustics and motion with a sampling time of one minute.
- A Campbell Scientific CR1000 data logger system, connected with an outdoor local weather station (temperature, RH, wind speed, pyranometer) measures power metering for every electrical consumer such as PV, HVAC, lighting and appliances as shown in Figure 3, with a sampling time of five seconds.

All sensor data are fully integrated into a central database. Time synchronization is conducted when the data are retrieved from the central database.

Building and System Models

Figure 4 shows the heating and cooling system sensors. For radiant floor heating system, the supply and return pipe surface temperature and water flow to each branch are measured. The pipes are well insulated so that the surface temperature of the copper pipes is assumed to be the same as the water temperature. In addition, the floor surface temperature is measured to validate the heating model. For the cooling system, the supply air temperature and RH measured at the outlet of the indoor fan coil. The supply air flow rate has three constant stages and is measured by a portable flow meter before the experiment period to verify the actual flow rate.
The heat transfer through external walls is modelled by the standard two capacitance and three resistance (2C3R) model (ASHRAE thermal network model) as shown in Figure 6.

\[ E_{cool} = \frac{a_{solar} Q_{solar}}{COP} \]  

(9)

Local Weather forecasting model

Local weather forecasting is important input parameters for building controls. Recently, researchers applied different artificial intelligence methods to predict temperature and solar radiation. Henze, et al. (2004) investigated the impact of forecasting accuracy on the predictive optimal control of active and passive building thermal storage system. The outdoor temperature forecasting models include bin, unbiased random walk, and seasonal integrated Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) predictors. Florita and Henze (2009) applied moving average and neural network models to predict the weather variables such as outdoor air temperature, relative humidity and global horizontal solar radiation seasonally in several geographical locations. In this study, the Hammerstein Wiener (HW) model and adaptive Gaussian process (AGP) method (Jiang and Dong, 2010) are introduced to predict outside dry-bulb temperature, global horizontal solar radiation and wind speed in the time magnitude of one hour and 15 minutes. Figure 7 presents an overview of the methods implemented in the local weather forecasting.

![Figure 7 Overview of implemented weather forecasting methods](image-url)
Parameter Estimation

Thermal parameters, such as $R$ and $C$ numerical values, are not commonly available. Although some can be derived from construction drawings, the specific assembly of the construction could affect the external wall thermal properties. Hence, a parameter estimation approach is taken to identify thermal properties based on measured data. The building model presented in this study can be treated as a grey-box model. Braun and Chaturvedi (2002) developed a similar thermal network for load prediction. This inverse grey-box model needs one week of data to train with rich zone temperature variations or two to three weeks of data to train with limited zone temperatures variations. The model error can be limited within 2% with simulation data and 9% with on-site data. Wang and Xu (2006) developed a simplified model of the building thermal load on heat transfer of building envelope and internal mass. The parameters of building thermal network models for building envelope are determined by frequency characteristic analysis; the parameters of thermal network models for lumped internal mass are identified with a generic algorithm. McKinley and Aleyne, et al. (2008) presented an alternative approach using optimization search process (hill climbing algorithm) to identify building thermal model parameters and loads based on site measurement.

In this study, considering this problem as a constrained nonlinear optimization, the subspace trust region solver based on the interior-reflective Newton method (Coleman and Li, 1996) is chosen, which is available in the MATLAB optimization toolbox.

Occupancy detection model

The occupancy detection model implemented in this study is adopted from Dong and Lam (2011). Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) is used to categorize the changes of the selected features, which yielded the highest information gain in this context, according to different numbers of occupants in the zones. These categorizations are then used as observations for the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) to estimate number of occupants.

To estimate the duration of occupants in the space, a Semi Markov Model was developed based on patterns of CO$_2$, acoustics, motion and lighting changes (Dong, et al. 2009). The patterns are comprised by different single sensor events. Each single event is denoted with a code and an episode as a sequence of codes. Table 1 shows the code assignments. An example of an episode may be “agggheeg…”, which presents the activity of “low acoustics level$\rightarrow$CO$_2$ increasing$\rightarrow$CO$_2$ decreasing$\rightarrow$motion off-on$\rightarrow$CO$_2$ increasing”. All parameter values used in the definitions are determined empirically for the test-bed environment used in this study. Hence, these definitions are specifically for this study only and may not be applicable for other test-beds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sensors &amp; Transitions</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sensors &amp; Transitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Acoustics, 1. Low</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>Lighting, 1. Off-on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Acoustics, 2. High</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>Lighting, 2. Off-on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Lighting, 1. Off-On</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>Lighting, 1. Increasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Lighting, 2. On-off</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>Lighting, 2. Decreasing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the predicted occupancy schedules derived from occupant behaviour patterns together with existing known schedules from Outlook calendar are taken as dynamic inputs for the integrated control.

Design of non-linear MPC

In this study, a non-linear model predictive control (NMPC) is designed for the Solar House heating and cooling system following Magni, et al. (2003) and implemented in the test bed. The optimization problem becomes:

$$\Psi_h(x_t) = \min_u \sum_{t=1}^{n} \varphi(x_t, y_t, u_t, d_t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Subject to  $x_{t+1} = g(x_t, y_t, u_t, d_t)$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$x^l \leq x_t \leq x^u \hspace{1cm} t = 1 \ldots, h$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$u^l \leq u_t \leq u^u \hspace{1cm} t = 1 \ldots, h$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$y^l \leq y_t \leq y^u \hspace{1cm} t = 1 \ldots, h$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$x_1 = X_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The specific problem $\Psi_h(x_t)$ presented above is a discrete time formulation of the general problem for HVAC control, which is an infinite time horizon control problem. It is converted into a finite time control problem with a moving horizon $h$ at each time step. At the current time $t$, the initial conditions $x_t = X_0$ are obtained as inputs into the plant model. At the same time, the optimization problem defined (10)-(16) is solved by dynamic programming. The results are the optimal control profile $u(t|t)$ for HVAC systems and corresponding room temperature set-point $y(t|t)$. However, only the first step from $t$ to $t+1$ of calculated $u_t$ is actually executed, which is defined in $u'_t$. Once $x_{t+1}$ is known at the next time step, the prediction horizon is shifted forward by one time step and the problem $\Psi_h(x_{t+1})$ is solved again to find $u_{t+1}$. The new $u(t + 1|t + 1)$ is in principle different from $u(t + 1|t)$ because of the additional new information available. During the heating season, the moving time horizon $h$ is 24 hours.
because the response time of radiant floor heating system is slow. However, during the cooling season, the moving horizon $h$ is 3 hours (Coffey, 2008) because heat pump cooling is air-based which can cool down the space in 15 minutes.

5) Building occupants vary during the daytime and include university staffs, the external visitors and students of School of Architecture.

6) All the training data set for occupancy and weather prediction is from the previous one month continuously collected data.

**DISCUSSION AND RESULT ANALYSIS**

**Local weather forecasting**

**Experiment setup**

The data are continuously collected every one minute or one and half minutes (depending on the network latency) since April 28, 2009. The experiment is setup both for cooling and heating season as follows:

1) The heating season experiment is setup through the first week of February, 2010. The cooling season experiment is setup through the week from July 5 to July 10, 2010. In this paper, only results from cooling season are discussed.

2) The heating setpoint while occupied is 21 °C at day time with 17 °C set-back. The cooling setpoint while occupied is 25 °C, with 30 °C set-back.

3) During the heating season, the time step for control is 1 hour, while during cooling season, the time step for control is 15 minutes because the heat pump system can cool down the space from 29 °C to 25 °C in 15 minutes.

4) During the experimental period, the operable windows are all closed. Air infiltration happens only through unintended openings (e.g. cracks between foundation and frame).

Figures 9-11 shows the results of hourly outdoor air temperature, global horizontal solar radiation and local wind speed prediction from July 5 to July 10, 2010.

**Figures 9-11** Results of 15-minute local outdoor air temperature prediction from July 5 to July 10, 2010

**Figures 9-11** Results of 15-minute local global horizontal solar radiation prediction from July 5 to July 10, 2010

**Figures 9-11** Results of 15-minute local wind speed prediction from July 5 to July 10, 2010

**Figures 9-11** shows the results of hourly outdoor air temperature, global horizontal solar radiation and local wind speed prediction from July 5 to July 10, 2010. The RMSEs are 0.62, 60.02 and 0.37,
Occupancy patterns

Occupancy number estimation

Figure 12 Results of occupancy pattern prediction from July 5 to July 10, 2010

The number of occupants during the testing period ranges from 0 to 7 in the conference room. When integrated with scheduled meetings and classes, the accuracy for the week of scenario is 92%, as shown in Figure 12.

Occupancy duration prediction

Duration prediction is to find daily occupancy patterns, based on the Hidden Semi Markov model and estimation of duration as an Exponential function (Duong, et al., 2006). For the testing period, the prediction accuracy is 78%±16 minutes. This means the method developed in this study can predict correctly 78% of the time, with an offset of 16 minutes.

Energy consumption from NMPC

The building and system models were validated against previous year measured data before NMPC implemented. The results show 5% accuracy in terms of total building energy consumption. Due to the limited space, the validation results are not shown in this paper. Figure 14 shows the indoor air temperature changes under NMPC control. When the space is not occupied, the indoor temperature does not maintain the 25 °C set point. Figure 15 shows the measured results of energy consumption profile of this integrated NMPC. The energy profile from scheduled temperature set-point is from simulation results, while the one from NMPC is real-time measured data. The energy saving from the NMPC compared to scheduled set point is further illustrated in dashed boxes in Figure 15. The energy saving mainly comes from the dynamic occupancy scheduling, while the scheduled control set-point method tries to maintain the set-point regardless of whether there is any occupant in the space.
Table 2 shows the comparison of total energy consumption for the whole week. The NMPC can save 17.8% of energy compared with the scheduled start. Furthermore, the NMPC does not meet the temperature set-point for 2 hours, compared to 3 hours from schedule temperature set-point control. Although the dynamic occupancy schedule varies with cooling set points in the space, the temperature of the space changes quickly so that the energy saving is only realized over a short duration of about an hour (four 15-minute time-steps).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Consumption (kWh)</th>
<th>Energy Saving (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Temperature Set-points</td>
<td>96.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMPC Optimization</td>
<td>79.62 17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Set-point not met while occupied (Hrs)</td>
<td>Improved Set-point Met Time (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Set-points</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMPC Optimization</td>
<td>2 33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sensitivity Analysis**

To investigate the impact of occupancy changes on the cooling energy consumption, a sensitivity analysis is conducted. Figures 16 and 17 show the cooling energy consumption profiles change with high and moderate occupancy level changes, respectively. This is because the cooling system is an air-based system, which can provide almost instant cooling into the space. In addition, the outdoor temperature during those two days are similar as shown in Figure 14, which eliminates the additional impacts from the weather disturbances when compared. Such results from this paper are limited to the similar type of closed space.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, a nonlinear model predictive control is designed and implemented in the Solar Decathlon House test bed in a real time framework. This NMPC integrates weather forecasting model and occupant behaviour pattern models. Both predictions are within 80% of accuracy. The results show that the cooling energy consumption is saved by 17.8% compared with usual daily set-point and night setback temperature control strategy. This paper approves in experiments that NMPC with real-time disturbances information can save more energy than the traditional one.
NOMENCLATURE

\( h_s \) surface heat transfer coefficient \([\text{W/m}^2\cdot\text{°C}]\)
\( A \) wall or window surface area \([\text{m}^2]\)
\( k \) thermal conductivity of surface \([\text{W/m, °C}]\)
\( \rho \) density of the surface material \([\text{kg/m}^3]\)
\( C \) heat capacitance \([\text{J/m}^3\cdot\text{K}]\)
\( R \) thermal resistance \([\text{K}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{W}]\)
\( T_{\text{surf}} \) inside surface temperature \([\text{°C}]\)
\( T_{\text{osurf}} \) outside surface temperature \([\text{°C}]\)
\( T_{\text{zone}} \) zone air temperature \([\text{°C}]\)
\( T_{\text{SUP}} \) supply air temperature \([\text{°C}]\)
\( \dot{m}_{\text{sup}} \) supply air mass flow rate \([\text{kg/s}]\)
\( \dot{m}_{\text{inf}} \) infiltration mass flow rate \([\text{kg/s}]\)
\( Q_{\text{in}} \) convective internal loads\([\text{W}]\)
\( Q_{\text{surf}} \) outside surface absorbed solar radiation \([\text{W}]\)
\( Q_{\text{osurf}} \) inside surface absorbed solar radiation \([\text{W}]\)
\( g(\cdot) \) cost function of NMPC
\( \phi(\cdot) \) heat transfer functions
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